IPSI Case Study Summary Sheet

Basic Information

| | onnu | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------------|---|--------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----------|----------------|
| Title of ca | Title of case study | | | | | COMDEKS Project: Laborec-Uh region | | | | | |
| Submittir | Submitting IPSI member organization(s) | | | | ι | United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) | | | | | |
| Other contributing organization(s) | | | | | 1 | Ministry | of the l | Enviror | nment Japan (M | OEJ), S | SCBD, UNU |
| Author(s) and affiliation(s) | | | | | l | United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) | | | | | |
| Format of case study Manuscript | | | | | l | Language English | | | | | |
| Keywords | | | | | F | Forestry, Water, Agriculture, Alternate livelihoods | | | | | |
| Date of submission | | | | | (| 6 March | 2017 | | | | |
| Web link | | http://colle | ctions.ur | nu.edu/ | /eserv/ | /UNU:60 | 11/con | nmunit | ies_in_action_c | omdel | ks.pdf#page=12 |
| Geograp | hical | Informatio | | | | | | | | | |
| Country | | | | Locat | tion(s) | Во | drog R | River basin, Eastern Slovakia Lowland | | | |
| Longitude | , | | | | | s://www. | | | naps/@48.5834 | | |
| Ecosyster | n(s) | | | | | | | | · · · | | |
| Forest | Х | Grassland | х | Agricultura | | | Х | In-lar | nd water | Х | Coastal |
| Dryland | | Mountain | | Urban/peri- | | -urban | | Othe | r | | |
| Socioeco | nomic a | and environm | ental cha | aracteris | stics o | of the are | ea | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | by extensive wet | | |
| | | | | | | | | | work of drainage | | |
| | | | | | | | | | nsity. Today, only | forest | t remnants |
| | | | | | | | ows, gra | issland | s and fishponds. | | |
| | | uman-nature | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | • | | | - | | ortant economic | | |
| - | | tility coile with | n high gro | undwat | ter are | • | | | gricultural produ | | • |
| However, | | | | | | | | | | | |
| However, landscape | e is lowe | er than the nat | | | | | | | | | |
| However, landscape crops sub | e is lowe sidized | er than the nat by the main E | uropean l | Jnion a | grariar | n support | t schem | es, brir | nging the need fo | or incre | eased use of |
| However, landscape crops sub agrochem | e is lowe sidized iicals. C | er than the nat by the main E | uropean (as traditic | Jnion ag | grariar een an | n support importa | t schem nt part | es, brir of loca | | or incre | eased use of |

Contents

| Status | Ongoing | Period | 06/2011 - 12/2017 | | | | |
|---|---------|--------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Rationale | | | | | | | |
| The area was selected mainly due to its biodiversity and hydrological values, as well as its ranking as one of the most underdeveloped Slovak regions in terms of its social, economic, agricultural, and ecological aspects. There has been a significant drop in the number of inhabitants over the last 15 years, mainly caused by the lack of jobs in the region, low wages, low education level, and segregation of Roma communities. | | | | | | | |
| Objectives | | | | | | | |
| Improved ecosystem services through integrated water management and landscape regeneration; Strengthened agricultural production that promotes agro-diversity and landscape resilience through sustainable practices and systems; Enhanced livelihoods through the development of small-scale production activities and businesses using local resources; Strengthened multi-stakeholder participatory decision making at the landscape level for greater resilience. | | | | | | | |
| Activities and/or practices employed | | | | | | | |
| Revival of small-scale and sustainable farming; Improving wetlands management and renewing forest ecosystems; Establishing and promoting a local farmers market; Coordinating at a landscape and regional level; Advocating for local concerns in regional development. | | | | | | | |
| Results | | | | | | | |

A number of traditional agricultural practices were revived at small farms in the area; Project activities brought integrated water management to a number of parcels and helped restore the natural water regime; 15 ha of wetlands hosting thousands of water birds have been protected; A farmers market is now operating, serving 20 local producers; Several joint meetings have occurred to develop a system of supporting local production and the marketing of regional products.

Lessons learned

Long-term support to local groups has resulted in a broad partnership with empowered local actors; The provision of adequate facilitation, consultation, and technical support is important at every stage of planning and implementation; A compressed timeframe of the planning and implementation cycle presented a challenge that was mitigated to some degree by more intense support during preparation and implementation.

Key messages

COMDEKS projects have taken the initial steps in creating a landscape identity among the region's communities that revitalizes traditional agricultural activities and land uses, and makes them part of a modern, participatory local development process. Regional authorities have welcomed COMDEKS as a model combining a bottom-up and top-down development approach.

| Relationship to other IPSI activities | | This case study is part of the COMDEKS Project | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Funding | Funding of USD 279,998.44 was provided by the Japan Biodiversity Fund through the GEF Small | | | | | |
| | Grants Programme for COMDEKS Nepal. | | | | | |

Contributions to Global Agendas

The table below shows based on the self-evaluation by author(s). \bullet and \blacksquare indicates the "direct" or "indirect" contributions to the following global agendas respectively to which the work described in this case study contributes to.



CBD Aichi Biodiversity Targets (<u>https://www.cbd.int/sp/targets/</u>)

UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) (https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/sdgs)

| • | | | | | • | | • | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|---|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| 1 NO POVERTY | 2 ZERO HUNGER | 3 GOOD HEALTH AND WELL-BEING | 4 QUALITY EDUCATION | 5 GENDER EQUALITY | 6 CLEAN WATER AND SANITATION | 7 AFFORDABLE AND CLEAN ENERGY | 8 DECENT WORK AND ECONOMIC GROWTH | 9 INDUSTRY, INNOVATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE |
| ††† † | | _√~ | | Ţ | Q | ÷ | 1 | |
| • | | • | | | • | | | |
| 10 REDUCED INEQUALITIES | 11 SUSTAINABLE CITIES | 12 RESPONSIBLE CONSUMPTION AND PRODUCTION | 13 CLIMATE | 14 LIFE BELOW WATER | 15 LIFE ON LAND | 16 PEACE, JUSTICE AND STRONG INSTITUTIONS | 17 PARTNERSHIPS FOR THE GOALS | |