# **An Overview IPBES Global Assessment** on Biodiversity and Ecosystems

Eduardo S. Brondizio, **Department of Anthropology**, **Indiana University Bloomington** 

**Co-Chair, IPBES Global Assessment** 

7<sup>th</sup> IPSI Global Conference **UNU-IASS Ministry of Environment of Japan** Ishikawa Prefectural Government

Des

www.ipbes.net







Food and Agriculture Organization of the **Jnited Nations** 



#### Outline:

-Introduce the Intergovernmental Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES)

-Overview of the global assessment on ecosystems and biodiversity

-Brief comments on the importance of social-ecological production landscapes and seascapes for the next decade of global biodiversity targets

# What is IPBES?



IPBES-1 (Jan 2013, Bonn)



IPBES-2 (Dec 2013, Antalya)



# Intergovernmental Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services

- Overall objective: To provide policy relevant knowledge on biodiversity and ecosystem services to inform decision making
- Established in April 2012, Panama
- 130 member countries
- collaborative partnership agreement with FAO, UNDP, UNEP, UNESCO
- Secretariat hosted in Bonn, Germany
- Implementing its first Work Programme (2014-2018)

## **Completed Assessments**



The methodological assessment report on SCENARIOS AND MODELS OF BIODIVERSITY AND ECOSYSTEM SERVICES

SUMMARY FOR POLICYMAKERS



## **Recently Approved Assessments**

The Regional assessments of biodiversity and ecosystem services Africa, The Americas Asia-Pacific Europe and Central Asia

# Land degradation and restoration assessment

# <u>The IPBES Global Assessment</u> on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services

- The global assessment will critically assess the state of knowledge on past, present and possible future trends in multiscale interactions between people and nature, taking into consideration different world views and knowledge systems.
- Geographic area includes land, inland waters, coastal zones and oceans.
- Timeframe:
  - Status and trends: back as far as 50 years up to 2020.
  - Plausible future projections and possible pathways: between 2020 and 2050

#### **IPBES -GLOBAL ASSESSMENT**

- Contribute to 2020 Global Biodiversity Outlook
- Assess progress to Aichi 2020
- Contribution to 2030 SDG
  - Prospects to achieve 2050 Vision
- Scientific basis for updated strategic plan to 2030

2030 SDGs

Vision of the Strategic Plan 2050



2020 Aichi Biodiversity Targets

The Aichi Biodiversity Targets

Goal A
Image: Constraint of the state of the s

2010 Adoption of the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity

# What are we assessing? The GA Scoping Questions

Chap. 1	Scope, conceptual and analytical foundations, chapter organization, main themes
Chap. 2	What is the status of and trends in nature, nature's benefits to people and indirect and direct drivers of change?
Chap. 3	How do nature and its benefits to people contribute to the implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals? What is the evidence base that can be used for assessing progress towards the achievement of the Aichi Biodiversity Targets?
Chap. 4	What are the plausible futures for nature, nature's benefits to people and their contribution to a good quality of life between now and 2050?
Chap. 5	What pathways and policy intervention scenarios relating to nature, nature's benefit to people and their contributions to good quality of life can lead to sustainable futures?
Chap. 6	What are the opportunities and challenges, as well as options available to decision makers, at all levels relating to nature, nature's benefit to people and their contributions to good quality of life?

## The team working on it



- 150 Experts from 51 Countries
- 52.7% (79) Natural sciences, 47.3% (71) social sciences, interdisciplinary
- 17 Review Editors
- 16 Fellows; 6 Chapter Scientists
- 252 Contributing Authors
- 14 Management Committee Members (MEP & Bureau)
- TSUs: Global, Indigenous and local Knowledge, Scenarios, Values, Knowledge & Data, Capacity Building





(Brazil/USA

Sandra Diaz (Argentina)



Josef (Sepp) Settele (Germany)



Assessment TSU

Global

#### The process of doing the Assessment Submission!→

Meeting MEP: Bonn

**Meeting Governments: Bonn** 

Nater North ME - Call for Contributions Chapter Meetings: -Ch 1: Argentina

**Chapter Meetings:** -Ch 2-Nature : Germany -Ch 2-NCP : Germany -Ch 2-Drivers : Germany -Ch 3 : Germany

-Ch 4 : France

-Ch 5 : The Netherlands

-Ch 6: Norway

k Systematic Literature Review

-ILK authors: Hungary

-Values: Hungary

2017

-SES Indicators: S. Korea

200/FOD Inter

review

SAIV

2018 External rev IIK I IPIC Dialogues SOD Internal review

TAM

2019

TOD Internal rev

-UNPFII, April 2019, UN-NYC

-Int'l Ethnobiology, Aug. 2018, Belem+30, Brazil

**IPBES-7** 

-Artic Council, June 2018, Helsinki, Finland -Community Conservation May 2018, Halifax, Canada

-UNPFII, April 2018, UN-NYC, USA

-IIFB/CBD 8j, SBSTTA Dec. 2017, Montreal, Canada -Int'l Ethnobiology May 2017, Montreal, Canada

-\*UNPFII, April 2017, -Dialogue on Human rights Conservation, UN-NYC, USA April 2017, Mt. Elgon, Kenya

 $\rightarrow$  Extended Chapter Outlines → Scoping Specific Questions  $\rightarrow$  ILK Operational Strategy

FAM 2016

→ Scoping Report  $\rightarrow$  Authors' selection \*UNPFII passed as resolution in support of the Global Assessment

# **CHAPTER and CROSS-CHAPTER MEETINGS 2017**



The Intergovernmental Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services

ILK Authors liaison group meeting

# **Building upon previous efforts...**



... the GA is the first assessment to systematically examine and incorporate indigenous and local knowledge and issues concerning indigenous peoples and local communities at a global scale.



Fig. 1 | Global map of lands managed and/or controlled by Indigenous Peoples (percentage of each degree square mapped as Indigenous in at least one of 127 source documents; Supplementary Information section 2).

Indigenous Peoples: manage or have tenure rights over at least ~38 million km2 in 87 countries [~25% land surface]

40% Protected areas and + intact nature

The IPBES Global Assessment : A Strategy dedicated to Indigenous and Local Knowledge and Issues concerning Indigenous Peoples and Local Communities

Ch 1	Introduction
Ch 2	Status & Trends: last 50 years
Ch 3	Progress on internationally- agreed goals
Ch 4	Looking into plausible future 20/30 yrs
Ch 5	Looking into desirable sustainable futures and possible pathways 30/50 yrs
Ch 6	Evaluation of policy instruments

→ILK-IPLC SYSTEMATIC COVERAGE ACROSS CHAPTERS:

-3 Guiding Questions

-36 Chapter specific questions

→SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW

→ONLINE and FACE TO FACE CONSULTATIONS

### Locally based, regionally manifested, globally relevant



1. What have been the contributions of ILKPs/IPLCs to the sustainable use, management and conservation of nature and Nature's Contributions to People at regional and global scales?

## Confronting pressures, conflicts, and facing fast rates of change

2. What are the most important features, **pressures** and factors related to and/or **enabling** these contributions, as well as **impacting** present and future relationship to nature and quality of life of IPLCs?







3. What policy responses, measures, and processes can contribute to strengthen and improve the institutions and governance of nature and its contributions with regard to ILKP/IPLCs?

#### 22/10/2018



#### #/ Home

/ Contribute to incorporating indigenous and local knowledge as part of the IPBES global assessment on biodiversity and ecosystems

Contribute to incorporating indigenous and local knowledge as part of the IPBES global assessment on biodiversity and ecosystems



The purpose of this survey is to invite experts on indigenous and local knowledge, notders of indigenous and local knowledge, as well as their organizations and networks, to engage with and support the Global Assessment on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES).

Read more on the work of IPBES Read more on the global assessment and the guiding questions of its 6 chapters

The work of IPBES is innovative in that it explicitly embraces different scientific disciplines (natural, social, engineering sciences), as well as diverse stakeholders (the scientific community, governments, international organizations, and civil society at different levels), and their different knowledge systems (western science, indigenous, local and practitioners' knowledge).

## Consultations, dialogues, and call for contributions on Indigenous and Local Knowledge





**Chapter Goals:** Ch 2: Drivers -Scope of the Global Status & Trends: last Assessment 50 years for decision 1-Scoping Document .Question .Specific domains Ch 2: Nature Status & Trends: last .Timeframe **50 years Desirable futures and** 2-Build on each other's evidence **Ch 4: Plausible** Ch 3: ABT/SDG **3-Ovearching themes, issues, threads** 

10/20/30 years across chapters

Ch 1: Introduction -Scope of the Global Assessment

<u>Ch 2: Drivers</u> Status & Trends: last 50 years

<u>Ch 5: Sustainable</u> <u>Pathways</u> Desirable futures and possible pathways

-Scope of the Global Assessment -Genealogy of the GA -Conceptual framework -Analytical basis: values, NCP, units, drivers, scenarios -Strategy for ILK/IPLC

Ch 4: Plausible

<u>Futures</u> Plausible future 10/20/30 years <u>Ch 3: ABT/SDG</u> <u>Drivers</u> Assess Aichi Biodiversity Targets and SDGs <u>Ch 2: Nature</u> Status & Trends: last 50 years

Ch 1: Introduction -Scope of the Global Assessment

<u>Ch 2: Drivers</u> Status & Trends: last 50 years

> <u>Ch 2: Nature</u> Status & Trends: last 50 years

<u>Ch 5: Sustainable</u> <u>Pathways</u> Desirable futures and possible pathways

> <u>Ch 4: Plausible</u> <u>Futures</u>

Plausible future 10/20/30 years -Global-Regional statustrends across units of analysis, NCPs, drivers -Biodiversity status and priorities -Long-term patterns, pathdependency -Accumulated impacts -IPLC contributions -Attributions and Interactions direct and indirect drivers

<u>Ch 3: ABT/SDG</u> <u>Drivers</u> Assess Aichi Biodiversity Targets and SDGs

Ch 1: Introduction -Scope of the Global Assessment

<u>Ch 2: Drivers</u> Status & Trends: last 50 years

<u>Ch 5: Sustainable</u> <u>Pathways</u> Desirable futures and possible pathways  -Progress evaluation ABT and SDGs
-Links and implications of ABT/SDGs for IPLC
-Evaluation of major
international conventions
Cross-cutting synthesis and
implications new targets

Ch 4: Plausible

<u>Futures</u> Plausible future 10/20/30 years <u>Ch 3: ABT/SDG</u> <u>Drivers</u> Assess Aichi Biodiversity Targets and SDGs <u>Ch 2: Nature</u> Status & Trends: last 50 years

Ch 1: Introduction -Scope of the Global Assessment

<u>Ch 2: Drivers</u> Status & Trends: last 50 years

<u>Ch 5: Sustainable</u> <u>Pathways</u> Desirable futures and possible pathways

#### Ch 4: Plausible

<u>Futures</u> Plausible future 10/20/30 years -Evaluation of scenarios
-Plausible futures for nature in marine, freshwater, terrestrial
-Plausible futures for NCP and GQL and implications
-Implications for reaching the ABT and SDG
-Uncertainties, feedbacks, tipping points

<u>Ch 3: ABT/SDG</u> <u>Drivers</u> Assess Aichi Biodiversity Targets and SDGs <u>Ch 2: Nature</u> Status & Trends: last 50 years

Ch 1: Introduction -Scope of the Global Assessment

(5) Frameworks for sustainability transformation, pathways

(6) transformative governance

(5/6) Nexus approaches to achieve

multiple SDGs: sustainable food,

freshwater, biodiversity conservation, landscape, oceans, urban, climate

goals, infrastructure, energy(5) Societal levers(6) Policy options and instruments

<u>Ch 2: Drivers</u> Status & Trends: last 50 years

> <u>Ch 2: Nature</u> Status & Trends: last 50 years

<u>Ch 5: Sustainable</u> <u>Pathways</u> Desirable futures and possible pathways

Ch 4: Plausible

<u>Futures</u> Plausible future 10/20/30 years <u>Ch 3: ABT/SDG</u> <u>Drivers</u> Assess Aichi Biodiversity Targets and SDGs

## <u>On-going work (September – December 2018)</u>:

-Chapter revisions and responses to review comments

-Cross-chapter alignments and cross-cutting themes

-Preparation of Executive Summaries and Summary for Policy Makers (SPM)

-For approval at the Plenary of IPBES-7 at UNESCO, Paris, May 7, 2019 10/22/2018

# Comments on the importance of social-ecological production landscapes and seascapes for the next decade of global biodiversity targets

# <u>Convention of Biological Diversity</u> 2011-2020 – Aichi Biodiversity Targets



Understand values



Mainstream biodiversity



Address incentives



Sustainable production









Manage within limits





Aichi Targets













Restore ecosystems



Enhance resilience



Implement Nagoya Prot.



Revise NBSAPs



Respect and conserve TK



Improve knowledge



Mobilize resources



Figure 1: World population distribution and density, 2010. Map prepared using data at 1km resolution derived from the Landscan Data Platform of the United States Oak Ridge National Laboratory. http://web.ornl.gov/sci/landscan/

Brondizio and Le Tourneau 2016, Science 352(6291): 1272-1273

<sup>2</sup>The Global Relevance of Indigenous Peoples and Local Communities to biodiversity and ecosystem conservation and management



Indigenous Peoples manage or have tenure rights over at least ~38 million km2 in 87 countries or politically distinct areas on all inhabited continents.

Representing over > 1/4 of the world's land surface.

Fig. 1 | Global map of lands managed and/or controlled by Indigenous Peoples (percentage of each degree square mapped as Indigenous in at least one of 127 source documents; Supplementary Information section 2).

sustainability

ANALYSIS

#### A spatial overview of the global importance of Indigenous lands for conservation

Stephen T. Garnett<sup>1\*</sup>, Neil D. Burgess<sup>2,3</sup>, John E. Fa<sup>® 1,5</sup>, Álvaro Fernández-Llamazares<sup>®</sup>, Zsolt Molnár<sup>7</sup>, Cathy J. Robinson<sup>®,7</sup>, James E. M. Watson<sup>® AB</sup>, Kerstin K. Zander<sup>®</sup>, Beau Austin<sup>1</sup>, Eduardo S. Brondizio<sup>® 1</sup>, Neil French Collier<sup>1</sup>, Tom Duncan<sup>1</sup>, Erle Ellis<sup>® 1</sup>, Hayley Geyle<sup>1</sup>, Micha V. Jackson<sup>® 14</sup>, Harry Jonas<sup>15</sup>, Pernilla Malmer<sup>6</sup>, Ben McGowan<sup>1</sup>, Amphone Sivongxa<sup>1</sup> and Ian Leiper<sup>1</sup>

### ... and to local to global conservation strategies



Areas managed and/or held in tenure rights by Indigenous Peoples intersects about 40% of all terrestrial protected areas and ecologically intact landscapes such as in boreal and tropical primary forests, savannas and marshes.

## <sup>2</sup> PERSPECTIVE

#### NATURE SUSTAINABILITY



The urban south and the predicament of global sustainability

Harini Nagendra<sup>1\*</sup>, Xuemei Bai<sup>2,3\*</sup>, Eduardo S. Brondizio<sup>045</sup> and Shuaib Lwasa<sup>6</sup>

Thanks to all Japanese authors and reviewers and to all of you who are contributing as authors and reviewers of the IPBES Global Assessment!

# Thank You! And Congratulations on the many advances of the Satoyama Innitiative!

Thanks to the research team of the project: Predicting and Assessing Natural Capital and Ecosystem Services (PANCES)





Science and Policy for People and Nature

IPBES Secretariat, UN Campus Platz der Vereinten Nationen 1, D-53113 Bonn, Germany secretariat@ipbes.net

✓ @IPBESwww.ipbes.net

#### The Dilemma: Island of Contrasting Landscape Governance: Connectivity and the limits of level specific governance systems...

 Image: constraint of the second of the se

... understanding the challenges and opportunities for landscape-level governance, enhancing connectivity and conservation of biodiversity and watersheds across diverse groups of agents.